

S. Sh. Aytov

*Dnipropetrovsk National University Railway Transport named after
Acad. V. Lazaryan (Dnepropetrovsk)*

**PHILOSOPHICAL AND SCIENTIFIC CONCEPTS I. LAKATOS AND St. TOULMIN:
COGNITIVE INTERACTION WITH HISTORICAL ANTHROPOLOGY.**

Abstract

The problem of this work is to change the intellectual interferences philosophy of science and social - humanitarian disciplines. The purpose of the article is to analyze the interactions of cognitive philosophical - scientific concepts I. Lakatos and St. Toulmin, and historical anthropology. The methodology of this study show pleasing includes such approaches as structural - systematic, comparative, and the concept of source postpositivism. The novelty of this work is to study the interaction of cognitive and intellectual parallels philosophical - scientific concepts I. Lakatos and St. Toulmin methodological concepts of historical anthropology, nature and orientation of these cognitive interactions. I. Lakatos and St. Toulmin were supporters of different intellectual currents in postpositivist philosophy of science. British philosopher was informative direction, which is considered the most important in the dynamics of science its logical - methodological component.

St. Toulmin belonged to the circle of philosophers who recognize the significant impact socio-cultural factors on the development of science. Common to creativity is to study the influence of psychological and socio-cultural factors, essentially historical - anthropological, the dynamics of scientific knowledge. Dialogue philosophical - scientific theories I. Lakatos and St. Toulmin and methodological concepts to the historical anthropologists carried out in three areas: logical - methodological, socio-cultural and axiological. The first is called the philosophy of interdisciplinarity realisation campaign, very typical and organically inherent in historical anthropology. The second direction embodied in understanding I. Lakatos and St. Toulmin socio-cultural factors of the dynamics of science, like a similar conceptual framework of historical anthropology. The third one realized in the emphasis on the value of the individual scientist to conduct their research, which correlate with the humanistic naprvlenie historical anthropology. The last theory considers its main task of the study of human existence to be a certain period in the stream of historical processes.

Keywords: I.

Lakatos and St. Toulmin, philosophy of science, cognitive dialogue, historical anthropology, postpozytyvizm, mizhdystyplyarnyy approach, social and human sciences.

References

1. **Bazhanov V. A.** Dialekticheskie osnovaniya tvorchestva I. Lakatosa [Tekst] / V. A. Bazhanov // Vopr. filosofii. – 2008. – № 9. – S. 147–156.
2. **Kun, T.** Zamechanija na stat'ju I. Lakatosa [Tekst] / T. Kun // Struktura nauchn. revoljucij: per. s angl. – M., 2001. – S. 577–591.
3. **Lakatos, I.** Dokazatel'stva i oproverzhenija [Tekst]: per. s angl. / I. Lakatos. – M.: Nauka, 1967. – 302 s.
4. **Lakatos, I.** Istorija nauki i ee racional'nye rekonstrukcii [Tekst] / I. Lakatos // T. Kun. Struktura nauchn. revoljucij: per. s angl. – M., 2001. – S. 455–525.
5. **Lakatos, I.** Otvety na kritiku [Tekst] / I. Lakatos / Tam zhe. – S. 593–605.
6. **Lakatos, I.** Fal'sifikacija i metodologija nauchno-issledovatel'skih programm [Tekst] / I. Lakatos // Tam zhe. – S. 269–454.
7. **Mink, L.** Kommentarii k stat'e St. Tulmina [Tekst] / L. Mink // St. Tulmin. Chelovecheskoe ponimanie: per. s angl. – M., 1984. – S. 190–199.

8. **Porus, V. N.** Cena «gibkoj» racional'nosti. O filosofii nauki St. Tulmina [Tekst] / V. N. Porus // Vopr. filosofii. – 1999. – № 2. – S. 84–94.
9. **Rashkovskij, E. B.** Filosofija nauki, naukovedenie i mir kul'tury [Tekst] / E. B. Rashkovskij // Tam zhe. – 2014. – № 7. – S. 68–81.
10. **Sivokon', P. E.** Ot neopozitivizma k postpozitivizmu: jevoljucija filosofskogo jevoljucionizma St. Tulmina [Tekst] / P. E. Sivokon' // St. Tulmin. Chelovecheskoe ponimanie: per. s angl. – M., 1984. – S. 5–22.
11. **Stoichik, A. M.** Kartiny real'nosti v medicine XVII–XIX vekov [Tekst] / A. M. Stoichik, S. N. Zatravkin // Vopr. filosofii. – 2013. – № 7. – S. 80–95.

Received in the editorial board 16.12.2015